

## MEETING SUMMARY

### Community Environmental Working Group

#### *“Striving for Continuous Environmental Improvements at Intel”*

**Date:** September 19, 2012  
**Time:** 5:00–7:00 p.m.  
**Location:** Corrales Senior Center

#### Members Attending

John Bartlit, NM Citizens for Clean Air & Water  
 Mike Williams, NM Citizens for Clean Air & Water

Hugh Church, American Lung Assc. in NM  
 Sarah Chavez, Intel  
 Edward Pineda, Rio Rancho resident

#### Non-Members Attending

Lynne Kinis, Corrales resident  
 Roberta King, Corrales resident

Liz Shipley, Intel

#### Facilitator

Stephen Littlejohn, Facilitator

Dani Jones-Kvam, Recorder

#### HANDOUTS

- Draft Agenda
- Draft Meeting Summary August 15, 2012
- Action-Item Progress Report
- EHS Activity Reports
- Media reports and articles, as available
- Topics Discussion Grid
- Report from Thom Little
- Report on neighborhood survey
- Newsletter policy and other samples

#### PROPOSED AGENDA

- Welcome, Introductions, Announcements and Brief Items
- EHS Report and 114 Update
- Report from Thom Little
- HF Modeling Update
- Neighborhood Survey Update
- Newsletter Editorial Policy
- Topics Priorities
- Additional Business
- Adjourn

#### WELCOME, INTRODUCTIONS, ANNOUNCEMENTS, AND BRIEF ITEMS

John Bartlit opened the meeting by stating the CEWG mission, which was to work towards continuous environmental improvements at Intel and improved community dialogue.

Filename: CEWG Draft Meeting Summary 9-19-12, v. 4. Approved: 10-17-12  
 Prepared or presented by: Dani Jones-Kvam & Stephen Littlejohn  
 Prepared for: CEWG  
 Date prepared or presented: 10-17-12

Introductions were made. Stephen Littlejohn said that CJ Ondek is celebrating her 50<sup>th</sup> birthday in Thailand and will not be joining the group as the recorder for this meeting. Dani Jones-Kvam will serve as the recorder for this meeting. Ms. Jones-Kvam is a doctoral student in communication at the University of New Mexico. John Bartlit said that Thom Little is in the hospital, Lane Kirkpatrick and Dennis Omara will not attend this meeting, but remain interested.

#### Agenda—Revisions and Approval

No comments.

#### August 15, 2012 Meeting Summary—Revisions and Approval

No comments.

#### Other Announcements

No comments.

#### Public Comment

No comments.

### **EHS REPORT and EPA 114 Update**

Sarah Chavez said that there was nothing unusual or noteworthy on this EHS report. There were two different people who called in, as indicated in the report. There is still no word from the EPA concerning the 114 report. John Bartlit asked if the only option is to keep waiting for the EPA's report. Sarah Chavez said that the last time Intel spoke with the EPA, they indicated that the EPA would contact Intel regarding the report. Edward Pineda said that the CEWG may have to wait for the new administration to hear about the report.

- Stephen Littlejohn said that a new part of the EHS report called the “complaint process” would be incorporated into the report. Thom Little put together this element of the report. Stephen Littlejohn indicated that the chart said “Intel Confidential”. Sarah Chavez said that she will check into the confidentiality of the document.
- Stephen Littlejohn asked for questions or comments about the EHS report. John Bartlit asked if there were any down times on the report. Sarah Chavez said no, there were no down times on the report. Lynne Kinis said that there were no downtimes on the report. Edward Pineda asked if there should be a footer on the EHS report. Stephen Littlejohn indicated that the EHS report does not have a footer.
- Lynne Kinis said that on the North end of Intel, three stacks were black yesterday between 1:30-2 pm. Sarah Chavez asked if they stayed black for a while. Lynne Kinis said yes. Sarah Chavez said that they may be black if the generators were operating. Ms. Chavez said that she would check to see if the generators were being tested. John Bartlit

asked if the generators were on for more than five minutes. Edward Pineda asked if the generators being tested should be in the report. Sarah Chavez said not necessarily. Edward Pineda asked if the generators operate with natural gas. Sarah Chavez said that they are operated with diesel fuel.

- Roberta King objected to the document being called the odor response protocol because the chemical is actually the problem, not the odor. Ms. King said that she objects to the title of the document relating to odor. John Bartlit said that the title of the document came from a response to a community complaint. Lynne Kinis said that the title of the document implies that odor is the only problem. John Bartlit suggested that this document could be called Response Protocol for Community Complaint. Roberta King said what people are complaining about are the physiological effects. John Bartlit suggested that the title of the document be changed. Stephen Littlejohn asked the group if the title of the document could be changed to Response Protocol for Community Complaint. Mr. Littlejohn said that previously this document had “odor contaminant” in the title, but that “community complaint” is fine. Sarah Chavez said that she would look into changing the title of the document at Intel because the document is an Intel document.

**Decision:** The title of the Odor Response Protocol for Community Complaint will be changed to the Response Protocol for Community Complaint.

- Roberta King said that when she is inside her home and has the refrigerated air running that she sometimes senses Intel. Ms. King said that it affects her breathing and her eyes. She said that regardless of what the EHS report says, that there is no downtime and that everything is working, that she does not believe the report. Ms. King said that the cloud cover affects the moisture in the air and that if she can notice it from inside her house, that she is not going outside to smell it. Ms. King questioned the accuracy of the EHS report and suspects that the computer system may not be recording the down time.
- Lynne Kinis said that every time Intel says that all systems are operating normally she chuckles because when a neighbor reports a problem, Intel still says all systems are working normally. John Bartlit asked if the CEWG should discontinue the EHS report. Ms. Kinis said no, the group should not discontinue the report because although Intel says that everything is working normally, the community is still being affected, the same procedures are being used. Mike Williams said that the group does not know if there is a problem with routine emissions or non-routine emissions. John Bartlit said that Lynne Kinis does not believe that the report is accurate. Lynne Kinis said that the report is not accurate. Mike Williams said that it is possible that routine emissions become a problem when combined with certain variations in meteorology.

- Edward Pineda said that with an evaporative cooler more of the exterior air comes into the house than with refrigerated air. Mike Williams said that the scrubbers have not been tested. Edward Pineda said that the CEWG needs to wait for the EPA report. Mike Williams said that if the CEWG find a problem with HF the group might look into that problem.

### REPORT FROM THOM LITTLE

Stephen Littlejohn said that Thom Little will be gone until January. Mr. Littlejohn said that calls will be routed through the command center for 24/7 response. Sarah Chavez said that if someone calls the command center, Frank Gallegos will follow up with the caller the next business day rather than calling in the middle of the night. There will be some follow up with whoever calls the command center.

- Edward Pineda asked if it would be accurate to say that Intel management should make a decision about sending a substitute to the CEWG for Thom Little. Stephen Littlejohn said that Intel will not send a substitute. Sarah Chavez said that she and Liz Shipley will continue to attend CEWG meetings.
- Stephen Littlejohn said that Thom Little is prepared to do air sampling, but this will not happen until he returns to Intel.
- Stephen Littlejohn asked if the group wants to have a second representative at CEWG meetings as well as an Intel representative on the agenda committee. Sarah Chavez said that she will serve on the agenda committee and present the EHS report. Mike Williams said that because the CEWG operates with consensus he does not see why Intel needs more representation than Sarah Chavez. Stephen Littlejohn asked if anyone wants two Intel representatives at CEWG meetings. Edward Pineda said that he wants Intel to make the decision about their representation. Roberta King asked for clarification about the agenda committee. Ms. King asked who made the decision that Frank Gallegos will respond to the community calls. Sarah Chavez said that Frank Gallegos made that decision. Roberta King asked if Andy is out of the picture. Sarah Chavez said that Intel management decided that while Thom Little was on sabbatical an additional person was not needed at the meeting because Liz Shipley and Sarah Chavez could cover everything. John Bartlit said that anyone from Intel may attend the CEWG meetings. Stephen Littlejohn said that Sarah Chavez will represent Intel at the CEWG meetings and if anyone else from Intel is present, he or she may attend the meeting.

**Decision:** Sarah Chavez will represent Intel and if another member of the company wants to attend, they are welcome to attend as a representative. Sarah Chavez will serve on the agenda committee.

- Stephen Littlejohn said that the projection screen is installed in the Corrales senior center and that the projector is ordered and should arrive any day. The projector will stay at the Corrales senior center and may be used by others at the senior center. John Bartlit said that the staff at the senior center will control its use. Stephen Littlejohn said yes. Edward Pineda said that the CEWG should write down the serial number of the projector and keep it in the meeting notes. Stephen Littlejohn said that writing down the serial number was a good idea.

### **HF MODEL UPDATE**

Mike Williams said that he had a meeting with Sarah Chavez, Kurt Parker, Paul Wade from Class One, Thom Little, and John Bartlit. Class One said that they could use the most recent meteorological data from Intel. Mike Williams said that they could use the period that is consistent with the current configuration of equipment, the way they are operating now, not in the past because every few years or so the equipment configuration is changed. John Bartlit said the stacks were changed. Sarah Chavez said, no, the scrubber stacks had not changed. Mike Williams said that the group was thinking about ways to use 1993-1994 met data, but now this is not necessary. The CEWG will get two new years of met data and do the modeling with this data. Hugh Church asked if Class One will select the years. Edward Pineda said that this report solves an important concern that the group had about using old data because now current data will be used. Mike Williams said that with HF the group does not have specific criteria of what is acceptable. Mr. Williams said that the group needs an invoice from Class One to give to Stephen Littlejohn.

- Stephen Littlejohn asked Mike Williams if he had set a criteria level for HF. Mr. Williams said that the criteria is 0.022 parts per million, which is then corrected for altitude. Mike Williams said that these criteria are from the Texas screening level and that if the test came out less than this level that there is not a follow up test. John Bartlit asked how these criteria compare with California's screening level and said that Kowalski provided this information to the group. Mike Williams said that this level is the most stringent level although the ATSDR has a slightly lower level of 0.02 parts per million, because the Texas screening level is for a one hour average concentration while the ATSDR level is a 24 hour average. Consequently we are using the Texas level because a one hour level of 0.022 ppm would normally correspond to a 24 hour average that is much less than 0.02 ppm. Thus, we have selected the most stringent of the screening levels as the provisional level. The model will produce micrograms per cubic meter and that 0.022 parts per million is what the CEWG will use, corrected for altitude.
- John Bartlit asked about the status of John Alsobrook. Sarah Chavez said that Thom Little did not say that he had heard back from Mr. Alsobrook.
- Edward Pineda asked if the CEWG was still thinking that there is a need for a new meteorological station between Intel and Corrales. Stephen Littlejohn said that it is on the

list of topics that the group will discuss during this meeting. Hugh Church said that Brian Rashap said that the meteorology station should be moved. John Bartlit said that Hugh Church should be the point person on this issue

**Action Item:** Hugh Church will contact Brian Rashap about the placement of the Intel weather station.

## NEIGHBORHOOD SURVEY UPDATE

Stephen Littlejohn said that the CEWG has a report from Lane Kirkpatrick about a neighborhood survey and asked if there were any comments.

- Edward Pineda said that in some places a permit is required to conduct a survey and asked if Mr. Kirkpatrick needed a permit in Corrales. John Bartlit said that the survey is informal. Lynne Kinis said that she did not think that there is a permit requirement in Corrales. Mike Williams said that government surveys have restrictions, but that he did not know about other surveys. Edward Pineda said that maybe once the CEWG has approved the survey that Mr. Kirkpatrick could make an announcement to the community about the survey. John Bartlit said that the CEWG should tell Mr. Kirkpatrick that this discussion of the survey was raised. Lynne Kinis said that solicitation is not allowed in her community. Hugh Church asked if a survey was solicitation. Roberta King and Lynne Kinis said yes. Stephen Littlejohn said that he would tell Mr. Kirkpatrick that the CEWG discussed a permit and that if he wanted to look into this issue he could.
- Stephen Littlejohn said that the problem with announcing the survey is that some residents may ask to be included, which may skew the data. Stephen Littlejohn said that Mr. Kirkpatrick will not go all over town, but just ask for input from some of his neighbors.
- Roberta King said that she is opposed to the survey because it is an invasion of privacy and therefore she would not participate. Ms. King said that what she objects to the most is that the survey asks for participants' location and address. John Bartlit said that this survey would just be Lane Kirkpatrick's script; people would not fill out the survey. Edward Pineda said that he thought that Mr. Kirkpatrick would use the grid numbers, rather than the street address of the respondents. Stephen Littlejohn said that it was not a good idea to include addresses of participants.
- Liz Shipley said that in the beginning of the document Lane Kirkpatrick says that he represents the CEWG. Ms. Shipley asked if this statement means that this survey is sponsored by the CEWG. Stephen Littlejohn said that this survey is not an official CEWG survey. John Bartlit asked if the survey could say that Lane Kirkpatrick is a

member of the CEWG, not a representative. Lynne Kinis said that the survey will be very small, maybe involving twenty people. Edward Pineda said that he thinks this survey is a good step in learning about the problem and that information and opinions will help the CEWG in future work.

- John Bartlit said that Lane Kirkpatrick understands that the survey will not be scientifically significant. Mike Williams said that he is worried that if Mr. Kirkpatrick does not get significant results that some people may conclude that there is not an issue. Stephen Littlejohn said that the CEWG must be very careful about how this survey is used. Roberta King said that since the 2004 task force that people in the village are concerned about their property values. People are concerned that if they say anything it will affect their property values. Lynne Kinis said that in order to sell a home, a person has to disclose problems of which they are aware. Edward Pineda said that the survey may help, but may also result in people saying that there were no significant results and asked if Lane Kirkpatrick would be willing to submit the report to the CEWG so that the group could assess the degree to which the survey is representative. John Bartlit said that this survey will not prove nor disprove any findings about Intel. Mike Williams said that the survey is not a very sensitive test. Roberta King said that a lot of people who have been gone in Albuquerque during the day may not know about the effects of Intel.
- Stephen Littlejohn suggested that the group could state that Lane Kirkpatrick will conduct the survey for his own purposes, but that this survey is not scientific and that the CEWG does not stand behind it as a scientific survey because of the sample size, and other elements. Stephen Littlejohn asked if there were any objections to the CEWG making a decision to qualify the survey as non-scientific. Hugh Church said that the group and Mr. Kirkpatrick will have to be aware of the HIPAA rules. Edward Pineda said that the group should say that the survey is not representative of the entire community, only representative of those who participated in the survey.
- Roberta King said that Lane Kirkpatrick has not been affected by Intel, his wife and kids have been affected, and she wants to be sure that when Mr. Kirkpatrick does the survey that he does not start out by saying that he hears that people are affected. Roberta King asked how Lane Kirkpatrick could conduct the survey in a way that does not suggest his own perspective, or bias participants' responses with his perspective. Roberta King objected to the "possible health effects" question of the survey because she believes that this information is nobody's business. John Bartlit said that this survey is similar to Fred Marsh's survey. Roberta King said, yes, but Fred Marsh was conducting the survey with the purpose of asking if Intel had affected anyone.
- Stephen Littlejohn said that the group should try to get consensus on a statement about the survey and suggested stating that this is an informal neighbor survey that is not

scientific including sample size and other factors, or representative and that any person has the opportunity to abstain from participation. Liz Shipley said that she has done research and that it is not just the sample size that may make a survey unscientific, it may also be the questions and who asks the questions.

**Decision:** The CEWG prepared the following statement regarding Lane Kirkpatrick's survey: This survey is an informal neighbor survey that is not scientific, because of sample size and other factors, is not representative, and that any person has the opportunity to abstain from participating. Mr. Kirkpatrick will say that he is a member of the CEWG, rather than representing the CEWG.

**Action Item:** Stephen Littlejohn will follow up with Lane Kirkpatrick regarding the discussion of the survey.

### NEWSLETTER EDITORIAL POLICY

Stephen Littlejohn said that Roberta King raised the issue of the editorial policy at the last meeting because the policy seemed like an attempt by Intel to stifle the opinions of the people. Mr. Littlejohn presented the editorial policy and provided examples from an academic journal and a newsletter from a professional association. Stephen Littlejohn said that he took the policy off the CEWG newsletter and made it into a web link so that it could be visible, but would not dominate the newsletter. Mr. Littlejohn asked if the group wanted to adjust the policy, and if so, what adjustments should be made.

- John Bartlit said that Roberta King's concern was that Intel would have veto power in the newsletter because there were Intel representatives on the committee. Mr. Bartlit said that the CEWG could require more than one person to raise an objection, or the group could wait to see what happens because there have not been any submission. Stephen Littlejohn said that the group reprinted a statement from Roberta King with her permission.
- Sarah Chavez said that the group could use a consensus decision-making process, even though it would take more time. Stephen Littlejohn said that there were two reasons why the editorial policy was created: (1) timeliness of the content (2) not using meeting time to make editorial decisions. John Bartlit said that the group could make decisions by e-mail. Stephen Littlejohn said that the group could require two objections from separate members to prevent content from being printed in the newsletter.
- Roberta King said that this discussion was not the basis of her objection. Roberta King said that corporations are ruling the world and making all of the decisions, and that the common person has no voice. The editorial policy is an example of corporations taking over and deciding what happens. Ms. King said that the agenda committee that meets ahead of time is all Intel people or people employed by Intel. John Bartlit said that the



agenda committee is comprised of Stephen Littlejohn, John Bartlit, Edward Pineda and Thom Little. Sarah Chavez said that she has participated in the agenda committee, but does not currently. Stephen Littlejohn said that the group reduced the agenda committee to one Intel member and one community member. Roberta King said that the editorial policy is rooted in corporate control. Edward Pineda said that at agenda committee meetings he discusses his own opinions and expresses these opinions freely. Ms. King said that Edward Pineda is the only person in the group who actively speaks on behalf of the people living near Intel. Mr. Pineda asked Roberta King if she would serve on the committee. Ms. King said no. Edward Pineda said that he will do his best to serve the agenda committee.

- Stephen Littlejohn suggested that if the CEWG wants to revisit the agenda committee that it could happen at a later time. Mr. Littlejohn said that if someone submits editorial content to him, he would send the content to the CEWG by e-mail, and if two members of the group object to the content and provide their reasons he would send the reasons to the author, who may revise and resubmit the content. This way, the CEWG will make substantive judgments, not Mr. Littlejohn. Edward Pineda said that maybe the document should be called the newsletter publication policy, rather than the editorial policy. Stephen Littlejohn said that Mr. Pineda's suggested title may address how the newsletter is used.
- Roberta King said that the editorial policy is too secretive and asked why the content cannot be sent to everybody? Stephen Littlejohn asked who is everybody? Roberta King said that editorial content should go to everybody on the list of the CEWG. Stephen Littlejohn said that authors would send content to him and then he would send it to all members of the CEWG automatically. Sarah Chavez asked if content would just be sent to CEWG members or the whole distribution. Stephen Littlejohn said that CEWG members would determine the content of the newsletter. John Bartlit said that the group could discuss the contributions to the newsletter in CEWG meetings. The editorial content could be listed in the meeting summary. Stephen Littlejohn said that this was a good idea.
- Roberta King said that it is necessary to make people are aware of the fact that Corrales Residents for Clean Air and Water were actively involved with Intel for a long time and that health is not their concern, their concern is improving the environment. Edward Pineda said that maybe the group should revise the mission of the CEWG to clarify the purpose of the group. John Bartlit said that this is another topic.
- Lynne Kinis asked why the content has to be approved and uniform and why can there not be something in the newsletter that disagrees with the CEWG. Stephen Littlejohn said that dissent would not be discouraged. Lynne Kinis said that dissent could be

discouraged. Stephen Littlejohn said that the content could only be turned down because it was too long, irrelevant, disrespectful or a personal attack, or repeats content already discussed by a different author. The CEWG could not turn down content because the group disagrees with the author or does not like the author, or because the group disagreed with a decision of the CEWG, or because the author does not like Intel. The CEWG may turn down an article that does not concern environmental improvement or dialogue. Roberta King asked according to whose definition of environmental improvement or dialogue? Stephen Littlejohn said according to the CEWG's definitions. Edward Pineda said that anybody can submit to the Corrales Common or the Rio Rancho Observer, or the Albuquerque papers, because these newspapers will take opinion-editorial content.

- Stephen Littlejohn asked for consensus and said that the group will change the name of the policy to the newsletter publication policy. Second, when submissions come in, Mr. Littlejohn will send the submissions to the CEWG to determine whether or not they meet the criteria for publication. If at least two members of the CEWG object, Stephen Littlejohn will send the objections to the author of the submission. The author will have the chance to rewrite. Then, the entire process will be reported at the next meeting. Hugh Church said that content that is outside of the CEWG's mission would be rejected.

**Decision:** The newsletter editorial policy will include Stephen Littlejohn sending submissions to the CEWG members. Then, CEWG members will determine whether or not the content meets publication criteria. Two member of the CEWG must object to the content and provide comments to prevent publication. These comments will be sent to the author, who may revise and resubmit. The entire review process will be discussed at CEWG meetings.

- Roberta King objected to the decision to approve the editorial policy as modified because the CEWG's interpretation of their mission, to improve little by little, does not have anything to do with improving the environment in which people have to live.
- Stephen Littlejohn asked Lynne Kinis if she objects to the decision to change the newsletter publication policy. Lynne Kinis said that she objects to this decision because she objects to the mission statement and because she deeply values hearing different sides of an argument, and if the editorial content is screened by the CEWG, and if she is told about the CEWG's objections, then the content is no longer her article, no longer what she wanted to say.

## TOPICS PRIORITIES

Filename: CEWG Draft Meeting Summary 9-19-12, v. 4 Prepared or presented by: Dani Jones-Kvam & Stephen Littlejohn Prepared for: CEWG Date prepared or presented: October 17, 2012	Approved: 10-17-12
--	--------------------

Stephen Littlejohn asked the group to look at the topics list to determine the nature of each item. Each topic would be categorized as a “mostly report item,” “mostly discussion item,” “mostly action item,” and “realistic action possible.”

- **Odor Contaminant Control—Mostly Action Item.** Stephen Littlejohn said that this seems like a high priority item and asked if anything be done about this topic. John Bartlit said in some cases, yes.
- **NMDH Health Survey Follow Up Study---Unknown:** Stephen Littlejohn said that there was a health survey done in the early 2000s and the group has suggested a follow-up study. This is not the pulmonary fibrosis study. The CEWG might discuss the survey and may request that the health department repeat the study. Or, the group might just discuss it. John Bartlit said that there is some connection with the ATSDR. Edward Pineda said that the group should be more specific about which kind of study is being discussed. Lynne Kinis said that the group could request a general health survey. Edward Pineda said that the pulmonary fibrosis study was very one sided.
- **Alternatives to Incinerating Volatile Organic Compounds ---Mostly Report Item.**
- **Emergency Spills, Focusing on (1) Potential for Downhill Flow (2) Security (Vandalism & Terrorism), (3) Emergency Response, and (4) Pre-emptive Action such as Barrier Wall---Mostly Action Item.** John Bartlit said that the Emergency Management group of Rio Rancho could make a comprehensive analysis and report to the CEWG. This is an action item. Stephen Littlejohn asked if the action was to have this group do an analysis for Intel with these ideas in mind and report to the CEWG. Lynne Kinis said that when this group did an assessment it included the Fire Chief and the head of Hazmat from Intel. The Fire Chief has to be called in by Intel. John Bartlit said that the CEWG can ask Intel to initiate an assessment. Lynne Kinis said that she would request to ask Corrales to be included in the analysis because Rio Rancho is not downhill. Stephen Littlejohn said that the group should not discuss this topic now, but that this can be an action item with the possibility of requesting Intel to consult with the Emergency Management Group of Rio Rancho. Edward Pineda asked if this department was under the supervision of FEMA. Mr. Pineda indicated that he was not too impressed with this organization in the past.
- **Baseline Modeling—Mostly Action Item.**
- **Prize for Environmental Improvement Ideas---Mostly Action Item.**
- **Scrubber Emissions per 114 (when EPA reports)---Mostly Action Item:** Stephen Littlejohn said that this topic is on hold until the group hears from the EPA. Edward Pineda said that the CEWG should get some opinions. Stephen Littlejohn said that the group could sponsor an observation process.
- **Toxicology (and Possibly Epidemiology)---Mostly Report Item. Panel Presentation:** Stephen said that the CEWG had an epidemiology panel. The group discussed creating a toxicology panel. Roberta King said that there were no double blind studies about

toxicology and this was the issue. John Bartlit said that the group could learn what toxicologists do, but this does not answer the question of what toxins are harmful.

- **Water Issues---Mostly Discussion Item:** John Bartlit said that Lane Kirkpatrick will be asking about water in his survey and the group could wait see what he finds in his study.
- **Alternatives to Using VOCs for Production of Computer Chips---Mostly Report item:** Finding alternatives and reporting about these alternatives.
- **Chemical Changes at Intel Related to Manufacturing Processes---Mostly Report Item.** Sarah Chavez said that this topic refers to what has been done to change the manufacturing processes at Intel.
- **Chemical Vacuum for Emergency Spills---Mostly Report Item:** Edward Pineda asked what a vacuum for spills meant. Stephen Littlejohn said that the group could find out if such a thing exists. This topic could possibly be included in the emergency management consultation.
- **Continued Silica Testing---Mostly Action Item:** This could return as an action item.
- **Dialogue, Meeting Guidelines, and Freedom of Expression---Mostly Discussion Item:** This could be a recurring item.
- **Emission Limits---Mostly Action item:** This topic relates to Intel integrating voluntary emission limits. Sarah Chavez said Intel would likely not reduce their limits because she does not know if they could reduce the limits and expand their facility
- **Intel Response Protocol---Mostly Action item:** Stephen Littlejohn asked if this is a realistic action item.
- **Membership and Leadership Policy---Mostly Action Item.**
- **Nitrogen Production---Mostly Discussion Item.** Edward Pineda said that there are some hazards related to nitrogen.
- **Phosgene: Pending the ATSDR report.**
- **Shifting Testing Resources---Mostly Action item.**
- **Solvent Use--- (Combine with VOC Above).**
- **Scrubbers, Input Streams, and Cooling Tower Changes (unclear):** Change to Scrubber stack height. Action Item pending the results of the HF study.
- **State Pulmonary Fibrosis Study---Discussion item.**
- **Joy's Email---Mostly Discussion Item.** Stephen Littlejohn asked if this is a separate topic or if it is part of other topics.
- **Operation Stability Monitoring---Mostly Action item.** John Bartlit asked if there is a way to monitor the consistency of operations. Stephen Littlejohn asked if the group wanted to formalize this topic.
- **Placement of Weather Stations---Mostly Report item:** Hugh Church will report.

Stephen Littlejohn said that he would send these items by e-mail and ask for ratings (high, medium, low) based on this discussion. This is a good exercise for the group to determine what

is important. John Bartlit said that ranking topics also helps the group decide what is the best use of their time. Stephen Littlejohn said that concrete ideas could be added to the topics list.

### **ADDITIONAL BUSINESS**

None.

### **MEETING ADJOURNED**

Stephen Littlejohn adjourned the meeting and said that the November meeting would be scheduled via e-mail.

### **NEXT MEETING**

October 17, 2012, 5 to 7 p.m.

Corrales Senior Center, Corrales, NM.

### **DECISION SUMMARY**

1. The title of the Odor Response Protocol for Community Complaint will be changed to the Response Protocol for Community Complaint.
2. Sarah Chavez will represent Intel and if another member of the company wants to attend CEWG meetings, they are welcome to attend as a representative. Sarah Chavez will serve on the agenda committee.
3. The CEWG prepared the following statement regarding Lane Kirkpatrick's neighborhood survey: This survey is an informal neighbor survey that is not scientific, because of sample size and other factors, is not representative, and that any person has the opportunity to abstain from participating. Mr. Kirkpatrick will say that he is a member of the CEWG, rather than representing the CEWG.
4. The newsletter editorial policy will include Stephen Littlejohn sending submissions to CEWG members. Then, CEWG members will determine whether or not the content meets publication criteria. Two members of the CEWG must object to the content and provide comments to prevent publication. These comments will be sent to the author, who may revise and resubmit. The entire review process will be discussed at CEWG meetings.